

Tilbury CLLD

Opportunities for the local community

Grant Assessment Sheet - ESF Projects

Meeting Delivery/Funding Process Requirements	Scoring Information	Score
Name of Applicant		
Project Overview: Action A: <input type="checkbox"/> Action B: <input type="checkbox"/> Action D: <input type="checkbox"/>	<u>Meet one or more CLLD strategic aims</u> Yes – 10%: Not at all – reject/revise	
Costs and Funding:		
<u>Total Project Budget £</u>	For info	
<u>Budget costs are fully eligible for ESF funding (inc match)</u>	If yes 5%, if not see note below	
Assessor concerns over potential ineligible costs including value.	If required request refer or revise	
Certainty of provision of eligible match funding	Guaranteed – 10% Low Risk – 8% Uncertain – 2% No match – reject/revise	

Outputs and Results:

ESF Outputs/Results	Tilbury CLLD Target	Project Target	Comments
CO01 – Participants that are Unemployed, including long term unemployed	790		
CO03 – Participants that are Inactive	407		
CO4 - Participants that are over 50 years of age	207		
CO5 – Participants that are from ethnic minorities	157		

ESF Outputs/Results	Tilbury CLLD Target	Project Target	Comments
CO16 – Participants that have disabilities	156		
CR02 –unemployed participants in education/training on leaving	487		
R1 – unemployed participants in employment including self-employment on leaving	147		
R2 – inactive participants into employment or job search on leaving	119		

Meeting Delivery/Funding Process Requirements	Scoring Information	Score																												
<p>Outputs and Results:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Total Value of Project</td> <td></td> <td>£0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">Value for Money/Output/Result</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CLLD VFM</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Project VFM</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total CO01/03</td> <td>£1,200</td> <td>0</td> <td>#DIV/0!</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total CO4, CO5</td> <td>£1,000</td> <td>0</td> <td>#DIV/0!</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total CO16</td> <td>£2,000</td> <td>0</td> <td>#DIV/0!</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Results (R1, R2, CR02)</td> <td>£4,714</td> <td>0</td> <td>#DIV/0!</td> </tr> </table>	Total Value of Project		£0		Value for Money/Output/Result				CLLD VFM			Project VFM	Total CO01/03	£1,200	0	#DIV/0!	Total CO4, CO5	£1,000	0	#DIV/0!	Total CO16	£2,000	0	#DIV/0!	Results (R1, R2, CR02)	£4,714	0	#DIV/0!	<p>Outputs and results understood and achievable 5%</p> <p>Outputs deliver value for money – 5%</p>	
Total Value of Project		£0																												
Value for Money/Output/Result																														
CLLD VFM			Project VFM																											
Total CO01/03	£1,200	0	#DIV/0!																											
Total CO4, CO5	£1,000	0	#DIV/0!																											
Total CO16	£2,000	0	#DIV/0!																											
Results (R1, R2, CR02)	£4,714	0	#DIV/0!																											
Procurement:	They have demonstrated the ability to manage this requirement fully yes- 10%. If no confidence – Reject/Revise																													
Systems capability and ability to maintain records and provide evidence required	Believe they have capacity to																													

	manage this requirement fully yes- 5%. If no confidence – Reject/Revise	
Additionality (i.e.: does not duplicate existing provision):	Demonstrates work is additional to any currently provided, 5%	
Innovative approach:	A level of innovation or new approach: 5% high 3% moderate 0% not new	
Proportion of individuals, that will be supported in the CLLD areas of highest deprivation (20% most deprived LSOAs).	Number of outputs to be delivered from LSOAs 100% - score 10% 80-99% - score 8% 65 -79% - score 5% Below 60% consider reject/revise	
State Aid:	Have provided the required data and are below threshold - 5%. Have not provided data - reject/revise Above the threshold - Reject	
Previous experience delivering this type of project/outputs/results	Score 5%	
Previous experience delivering EU funded projects	Score 5%	
Understands Publicity Requirements and able to implement	If yes, 5%. If no, revise or reject	
Sustainable Development	Demonstrate understanding of funding requirement- 5%	

Equalities and Diversity	Demonstrate understanding of funding requirement - 5%	
Total Score		

The minimum acceptable score will be 60%. Low scores in any of the sections marked red will be considered further by the LAG committee as they may highlight issues in meeting key funding requirements.

Assessor Review	
Name of Assessor	
Date of Assessment	
Assessor Recommendations	Approved <input type="checkbox"/> Approved subject to training <input type="checkbox"/> Reject and revise <input type="checkbox"/> Reject <input type="checkbox"/>
LAG Grant Approval Panel	
Date of Grant Panel	
Total Grant Value requested	
Amount Recommended for Approval	
Amount Approved	
Signature of LAG Panel Chair	
Date of Signature	

Short Response to Applicant – Grant Panel Outcome